An inhomogeneous p-laplacian equation with a Hardy potential

Genival da Silva*

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University - San Antonio.

Abstract

In this work we study the existence and regularity of solutions to the following equation:

$$\Delta_p u + g(x)u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p}|u|^{p-2}u + f,$$
 where $1 and $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, where $m \geq 1$.$

Keywords: elliptic equation, p-laplacian, regularity, existence

 $\mathbf{MSC\ Classification:}\ 35\mathrm{J}92\ ,\ 35\mathrm{J}15\ ,\ 35\mathrm{B}65\ ,\ 35\mathrm{A}01$

1 Introduction

In a recent paper Arcoya et al. (2022), building up on the previous work Boccardo et al. (2006), the authors study the existence and summability of the problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(M(x)u) + g(x)u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p}u + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where M(x) is positive matrix such that $M(x)\xi\cdot\xi>\alpha|\xi|^2,$ $g(x)\geq0,$ $0<\lambda<\alpha(\frac{N-2}{2})^2$ and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$.

In these notes we will study the equivalent problem for the p-Laplacian, namely:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u + g(x)u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(2)

^{*}email: gdasilva@tamusa.edu, website: www.gdasilvajr.com

for 1 . The crucial component of our analysis is the Hardy inequality:

Theorem. (Hardy's inequality) If $v \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and 1 then

$$\mathcal{H}^p \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \le \int_{\Omega} |Dv|^p, \tag{3}$$

where the constant $\mathcal{H} = \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)$ is optimal.

The idea of all the proofs is essentially the same: truncate and obtain boundedness estimates. It's remarkable that we can apply the same methods of the linear case to this quasilinear case, despite the nonlinearities.

The upshot is that Hardy's inequality provides a way to control the nonlinearity in terms of the Sobolev norm, which is essentially what we are trying to estimate.

The main challenges of problem (2) are the low summability of the source f which puts the right hand side outside the dual of $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and the nonlinearity with superlinear growth in case p>2 (for more on this type of problem see da Silva (2024)), which poses an obstacle to existence and high regularity.

The idea of truncating an equation in order to obtain estimates is not new, it was consolidated by Stampacchia Stampacchia (1965), who proved an equivalent of Calderon-Zygmund L^p -estimates for equations with discontinuous coefficients. However, the method is not invincible, in some cases the existence of solutions is not guaranteed, even for bounded sources; nevertheless, in these notes this method will be successful due to the pivotal hole of Hardy's inequality in obtaining the estimates.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we analyze the case with no lower order term, where we analyze two scenarios: high summability of the source, meaning f is in the dual of $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$; and low summability of the source, where we take the source in $L^m(\Omega)$ with m>1. In section 3 we study the same problem with a added lower order term, we are able to obtain under certain hypothesis existence and regularity of the solutions.

Notation

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain.
- The space $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space which is the closure of $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, smooth functions with compact support, in the *p*-norm.
- For 1 , the*p-Laplacian* $<math>\Delta_p$ is given by $-\text{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du)$.
- For q > 0, q' denotes the Holder conjugate, i.e. $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$, and q^* denotes the Sobolev conjugate, defined by $q^* = \frac{qN}{N-q} > q$, where N is the dimension of the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$.
- We will use the somewhat standard notation for Stampacchia's truncation functions (see Boccardo and Croce (2013)):

$$T_k(s) = \max\{-k, \min\{s, k\}\}, \quad G_k(s) = s - T_k(s), \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

- The letter C will always denote a positive constant which may vary from line to line.

- The Lebesgue measure of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is denoted by |A|.
- The symbol \rightharpoonup denotes weak convergence.
- The letter S denotes the best constant in Sobolev's inequality $\|u\|_{p^*} \leq S\|Du\|_{p}$, see Talenti (1976) for its value.

2 The case g(x) = 0 and $p \ge 2$.

In this section we analyze the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(4)

when $p \geq 2$, $0 < \lambda < \mathcal{H}^p$ and $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ for a suitable $m \geq 1$.

We begin by considering the "truncated system" system:

$$-\operatorname{div}(|Du_n|^{p-2}Du_n) = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p + \frac{1}{n}} |u_n|^{p-2}u_n + f_n$$
 (5)

where $f_n = T_n(f)$. The classical theory of Leray-Lions operators guarantee the existence of a unique solution $u_n \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

2.1 High summability: $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m \geq (p^*)'$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $(p^*)' \leq m < \frac{N}{p}$ and :

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{(m-1)N(N-mp)^{p-1}}{(p-1)^{p-1}m^p} \tag{6}$$

Then the Dirichlet problem (4) has a solution $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^s(\Omega)$, where $s = \frac{mN}{N-pm}$.

Proof. Take $\varphi = \frac{1}{\gamma - p + 1} |u_n|^{\gamma - p} u_n$ as a test function in (5), where $\gamma \geq p$ is a number to be chosen. If $\gamma = p$, we can easily see that $||Du_n||_p \leq C$, so u_n is bounded in $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ up to a subsequence. On the other hand, if $\gamma > p$ we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^p |u_n|^{\gamma-p} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\gamma-p+1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{\gamma}}{|x|^p} + \frac{1}{\gamma-p+1} \int_{\Omega} |f(x)| |u_n|^{\gamma-p+1}.$$

Rearranging using Hardy's inequality (3) with $v = |u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$

$$\frac{p^p}{\gamma^p} \int_{\Omega} |D|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}|^p \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p(\gamma - p + 1)} \int_{\Omega} |D|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}|^p + \frac{1}{\gamma - p + 1} \int_{\Omega} |f(x)||u_n|^{\gamma - p + 1}.$$

That is,

$$\left(\frac{p^p}{\gamma^p} - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p(\gamma - p + 1)}\right) \int_{\Omega} |D|u_n|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}|^p \le \frac{1}{\gamma - p + 1} ||f||_m \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{(\gamma - p + 1)m'}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}}$$

where we have used Holder's inequality in the last integral.

Choosing γ such that $\frac{\gamma p^*}{p} = (\gamma - p + 1)m' = (p - 1)s$, that is

$$\gamma = \frac{(p-1)m(N-p)}{N-pm},$$

we obtain:

$$\left(\frac{p^p}{\gamma^p} - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p(\gamma - p + 1)}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{\gamma p^*}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*} - \frac{1}{m'} = \frac{1}{s}} \le \frac{1}{\gamma - p + 1} ||f||_{m}$$

In order for this to be meaningful, we must require $\gamma \geq p$ and $\frac{p^p}{\gamma^p} > \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p(\gamma - p + 1)}$, that is:

$$(p^*)' \le m < \frac{N}{p} \text{ and } 0 < \lambda < \frac{p^p \mathcal{H}^p(\gamma - p + 1)}{\gamma^p} = \frac{(m-1)N(N - mp)^{p-1}}{(p-1)^{p-1}m^p}$$

We conclude that

$$||u_n||_{s} \leq C||f||_{m}$$

for some C > 0 that doesn't depend on n. Therefore, $u_n \to u$ with $u \in L^s(\Omega)$. We can easily see that u is a weak solution by passing the limit in (5).

Remark 1. If p = 2, we recover Theorem 2.1 in Boccardo et al. (2006).

Remark 2. Notice the contrast between this case and the case $\Delta_p u = f$ (treated for example in Boccardo and Croce (2013)). In the latter, we only need $(p^*)' \leq m < \frac{N}{p}$, whereas in the former an additional restriction has to be made in order to have the same regularity.

Remark 3. The inequality (6) is actually optimal as the example in (Boccardo et al., 2006, Ex. 2.2) shows. The author construct a radial example in the case $p=2,\lambda=\frac{(m-1)N(N-mp)^{p-1}}{(p-1)^{p-1}m^p}$ and shows that the solution $u\notin L^s(\Omega)$.

2.2 Low summability: $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $1 < m < (p^*)'$

In this scenario, the right hand side in (4) is not in $\mathbf{W}^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, hence we can't apply classical existence results despite the coercivity.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $1 < m < (p^*)'$ and

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{(m-1)N(N-mp)^{p-1}}{(p-1)^{p-1}m^p}. (7)$$

Then the Dirichlet problem (4) has a distributional solution $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, where

Proof. Take $\varphi = [(t + |u_n|)^{\gamma - p + 1} - t^{\gamma - p + 1}]\operatorname{sgn}(u_n)$ as a test function in (5), where $\gamma \geq 1$ is a number to be chosen. We have:

$$(\gamma - p + 1) \int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^p (t + |u_n|)^{\gamma - p} \le \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p - 2} u_n \varphi}{|x|^p} + \int_{\Omega} |f(x)| |(t + |u_n|)^{\gamma - p + 1} - t^{\gamma - p + 1}|$$
(8)

Rearranging this we obtain:

$$\frac{(\gamma - p + 1)p^{p}}{\gamma^{p}} \int_{\Omega} |D[(t + u_{n})^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} - t^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}]|^{p} \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|(t + u_{n})^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} - t^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{p}} \left(|u_{n}|^{p-2} u_{n} \varphi - |(t + u_{n})^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} - t^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}|^{p} \right) + \int_{\Omega} |f(x)| |(t + |u_{n}|)^{\gamma - p + 1} - t^{\gamma - p + 1}|$$

Using Hardy and Sobolev inequalities we get:

$$\mathcal{S}^{p}\left(\frac{(\gamma-p+1)p^{p}}{\gamma^{p}}-\frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|(t+u_{n})^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\right|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}\frac{1}{|x|^{p}}\left(|u_{n}|^{p-2}u_{n}\varphi-|(t+u_{n})^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}-t^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}|^{p}\right) + \int_{\Omega}\left|f(x)\right|\left|(t+|u_{n}|)^{\gamma-p+1}-t^{\gamma-p+1}\right|$$

If we fix n and let $t \to 0$ we obtain:

$$\mathcal{S}^{p}\left(\frac{(\gamma-p+1)p^{p}}{\gamma^{p}}-\frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{\gamma p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}\leq\left\|f\right\|_{m}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{(\gamma-p+1)m'}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}}$$

Now we choose γ such that $\frac{\gamma p^*}{p} = (\gamma - p + 1)m'$, that is we choose

$$\gamma = (p-1)\frac{m(N-p)}{N-mp}.$$

We conclude using (7) that

$$\left\|u_n\right\|_s \le C \left\|f\right\|_m$$

where $s = \frac{mN}{N-pm}$. Notice that by (8) we also have for a fixed t > 0:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|Du_n|^p}{(t+|u_n|)^{p-\gamma}} \le C,$$

hence, fix $\alpha > 1$ we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^{\alpha} \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{|Du_n|^{\alpha}}{(t+|u_n|)^{\frac{(p-\gamma)\alpha}{p}}} (t+|u_n|)^{\frac{(p-\gamma)\alpha}{p}},$$

Using Holder Inequality with $\frac{p}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{p}{p-\alpha}$:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^{\alpha} \le C \left(\int_{\Omega} (t + |u_n|)^{\frac{(p-\gamma)\alpha}{p-\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{p-\alpha}{p}},$$

Let's choose α such that $\frac{(p-\gamma)\alpha}{p-\alpha} = s$, that is,

$$\alpha = \frac{pmN}{-Np + m(N(p-2) + p)},$$

which is positive and greater than 1, since we took $m < (p^*)'$.

We conclude that

$$||Du_n||_{\alpha} \leq C,$$

so up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

We can easily see that this u is a distributional solution, see for instance Boccardo and Murat (1992). (Notice that $\frac{|u_n|^{p-1}u_n}{|x|^p} \to \frac{|u|^{p-1}u}{|x|^p}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$).

Remark 4. If p = 2, we recover Theorem 3.1 in Boccardo et al. (2006).

3 The case $g(x) \geq 0$: Regularity gain

In this section we analyze the following problems:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_p u + g(x)u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2}u + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(9)

when $0 < \lambda < \mathcal{H}^p$ and $f, g \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Recall that the reason for choosing $0 < \lambda < \mathcal{H}^p$ is to ensure the coercivity of the operator $T(u) = -\Delta_p u - \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u$. In this case, the lower order term allow us to obtain not only a distributional solution but a weak solution in $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where by a weak solution we mean $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $g(x)u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p-2}Du \cdot D\varphi + \int_{\Omega} g(x)u\,\varphi = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2}u\,\varphi + \int_{\Omega} f\,\varphi$$

3.1 (possibly) unbounded solutions

Theorem 3. Suppose $p \geq 2$ and there is a M > 0 such that

$$|f(x)| \le Mg(x). \tag{10}$$

Then the Dirichlet problem (9) has a weak solution $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $u \in L^s(\Omega)$ for any $s \in [p^*, s_{\lambda})$, where s_{λ} is the unique solution to the following equation:

$$\lambda = \frac{\mathcal{H}^p[p(\frac{s_{\lambda}}{p^*} - 1) + 1]}{(\frac{s_{\lambda}}{p^*})^p}.$$

Proof. We consider the truncated equation

$$-\Delta_p u_n + \frac{g(x)}{1 + \frac{M}{n} g(x)} u_n = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p + \frac{1}{n}} \frac{|u_n|^{p-2} u_n}{1 + \frac{|u_n|^{p-1}}{n}} + \frac{f}{1 + \frac{|f|}{n}}$$
(11)

Classical Leray-Lions theory guarantees the existence of a weak solution $u_n \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, see for example Theorem 5.1 or Example 9.12 in Boccardo and Croce (2013).

Croce (2013). Set $g_n = \frac{g(x)}{1 + \frac{M}{n}g(x)}$ and $f_n = \frac{f}{1 + \frac{|f|}{n}}$, by hypothesis we have:

$$|f_n(x)| \le Mg_n(x). \tag{12}$$

Now, take $\varphi = u_n$ as a test function in (11), we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p} + \int_{\Omega} g_{n} u_{n}^{2} \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{p} + \frac{1}{n}} \frac{|u_{n}|^{p}}{1 + \frac{|u_{n}|^{p-1}}{n}} + \int_{\Omega} |f_{n} u_{n}|$$

Using (12), we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p + \int_{\Omega} g_n u_n^2 \le \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^p} + M \int_{\Omega} g_n |u_n|$$

By Hardy's inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p + \int_{\Omega} g_n u_n^2 \le \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p} \int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^p + M \int_{\Omega} g_n |u_n|$$

Rearranging, we obtain:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |Du|^p \le \int_{\Omega} g_n |u_n| (M - u_n).$$

Using the trivial fact that the parabola x(M-x) has a maximum value of M^2 if $0 \le x \le M$, we conclude that:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |Du|^p \le M^2 \int_{\Omega} g_n \le M^2 \int_{\Omega} g.$$

Therefore, u_n is bounded in $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence $u_n \to u$ for some $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. As before, we can easily pass the limit and conclude that u is a solution. The term $g_n(x)u_n$ is the tricky one, however Hardy's inequality and Vitali's convergence theorem guarantees the $L^1(\Omega)$ convergence.

We now prove the regularity of $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. It's enough to prove that u_n is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$. Take $\varphi = |u_n|^{p(\gamma-1)}u_n$ as a test function in (11), for some $\gamma \geq 1$ to be chosen later. We have:

$$(p(\gamma - 1) + 1) \int_{\Omega} |Du|^p |u_n|^{p(\gamma - 1)} + \int_{\Omega} g_n |u_n|^{p(\gamma - 1)} u_n^2 \le \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p\gamma}}{|x|^p} + \int_{\Omega} |f_n| |u_n|^{p(\gamma - 1) + 1}.$$

Reasoning as before, we apply Hardy's inequality and use (12) to obtain:

$$\left(\frac{p(\gamma-1)+1}{\gamma^p} - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |D|u_n|^{\gamma}|^p + \int_{\Omega} g_n|u_n|^{p(\gamma-1)+2} \le M \int_{\Omega} g_n|u_n|^{p(\gamma-1)+1}.$$

Simplifying we get:

$$\left(\frac{p(\gamma-1)+1}{\gamma^p} - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |D|u_n|^{\gamma}|^p \le \int_{\Omega} g_n |u_n|^{p(\gamma-1)+1} (M - |u_n|).$$

As before, we can clearly see that the function $x^{p(\gamma-1)+1}(M-x)$ has a maximum for $0 \le x \le M$. We have:

$$\left(\frac{p(\gamma-1)+1}{\gamma^p}-\frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right)\int_{\Omega}|D|u_n|^{\gamma}|^p\leq M^{p(\gamma-1)+2}\int_{\Omega}g.$$

By Sobolev's inequality:

$$\left(\frac{p(\gamma-1)+1}{\gamma^p} - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\gamma p^*} \le M^{p(\gamma-1)+2} \int_{\Omega} g.$$

In order for this to make sense, we must have:

$$\lambda < \frac{\mathcal{H}^p[p(\gamma - 1) + 1]}{\gamma^p}$$

Let $s = \gamma p^*$, and consider the function $h(s) = \frac{\mathcal{H}^p[p(\frac{s}{p^*}-1)+1]}{(\frac{s}{p^*})^p}$. Notice that if $s = p^*$ then $h(s) = \mathcal{H}^p$ and $\lambda < \mathcal{H}^p$ which is always true. Also, h(s) is decreasing in $[p^*, \infty)$,

so eventually it will hit λ , at a point $s = s_{\lambda}$. In conclusion, the estimate is valid for $p^* \leq s < s_{\lambda}$.

3.2 Bounded solutions: 1

Theorem 4. Suppose there are M > 0, N > 1 such that

$$|f(x)| \le Mg(x) \text{ and } N\frac{\lambda}{|x|^p} \le g(x)$$
 (13)

If we assume $1 , then the Dirichlet problem (9) has a bounded weak solution <math>u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proof. We consider the truncated equation again (slightly modified):

$$-\Delta_p u_n + g_n(x)u_n = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p + \frac{MN\lambda}{n}} \frac{|u_n|^{p-2} u_n}{1 + \frac{|u_n|^{p-1}}{n}} + f_n$$
 (14)

Notice that

$$N\frac{\lambda}{|x|^p + \frac{MN\lambda}{x}} \le g_n(x). \tag{15}$$

Now, fix k > 0 and choose $\varphi = G_k(u_n)$ as a test function in (14)(See the Notation section for the definition of $G_k(s)$). We have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |DG_k(u_n)|^p + \int_{\Omega} g_n(x) u_n G_k(u_n) \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p + \frac{MN\lambda}{n}} |u_n|^{p-1} |G_k(u_n)| + \int_{\Omega} |f_n G_k(u_n)|.$$

Using (15),(13) and the fact that $sG_k(s) \geq 0$ we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega} |DG_k(u_n)|^p + \int_{\Omega} g_n(x)|u_n G_k(u_n)| \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_n(x)}{N} |u_n|^{p-1} |G_k(u_n)| + M \int_{\Omega} g_n(x) |G_k(u_n)|.$$

Simplifying:

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(x) |G_k(u_n)| \left(|u_n| \left(1 - \frac{|u_n|^{p-2}}{N}\right) - M \right) \le 0$$

Choose $k \gg 0$ such that $k(1 - \frac{1}{k^2 - pN}) > M$, for that k we must have

$$|G_k(u_n)| = 0$$

Which is to say that $||u_n||_{\infty} \leq k$. On the other hand, choosing $\varphi = u_n$ as a test function in (14):

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^p \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p + \frac{MN\lambda}{2}} |u_n|^p + \int_{\Omega} |f_n u_n|.$$

Using Hardy's and Holder's inequalities:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mathcal{H}^p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |Du_n|^p \le \|f\|_1 \|u_n\|_{\infty}.$$

We conclude that u_n is bounded in $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, hence up to a subsequence $u_n \to u$ in $\mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Arguing as in the proof of theorem 3, we can pass the limit in (14), hence $u \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution.

Remark 5. Notice that if p > 2, the argument in the proof the theorem 4 fails and we believe it's possible that unbounded solutions may exist even with the strong restriction (13).

Remark 6. We could increase the difficulty of the problem treated in this section if we had added a term of the form $g(x)u^{\theta-1}u$, the problem would still be solvable but considerably more difficult since the θ would interact with the p in the estimates leading to existence estimates depending on different values of θ and p. We plan to address this question in future works.

Remark 7. The case p = 1 remains challenging, that is, existence and regularity for the solution of the following problem:

$$\operatorname{div}(\frac{Du}{|Du|}) + g(x)u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|} \frac{u}{|u|} + f,$$

where $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. We can't use Hardy's inequality, at least, not in its usual form. See Chata and Petitta (2024) for a related problem.

References

Arcoya, D., Boccardo, L., Orsina, L.: Hardy potential versus lower order terms in dirichlet problems: regularizing effects. Mathematics in Engineering 5(1), 1–14 (2022) https://doi.org/10.3934/mine.2023004

Boccardo, L., Croce, G.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations Existence and Regularity of Distributional Solutions. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston (2013). https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110315424 . https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110315424

Boccardo, L., Murat, F.: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods Applications 19(6), 581–597 (1992) https://doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(92)90023-8

Boccardo, L., Orsina, L., Peral, I.: A remark on existence and optimal summability of solutions of elliptic problems involving hardy potential. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **16**(3), 513–523 (2006) https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2006.16. 513

- Chata, J.C.O., Petitta, F.: Existence, non-existence and degeneracy of limit solutions to p-Laplace problems involving Hardy potentials as $p \to 1^+$. The case of a critical drift (2024). https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15406v1
- Silva, G.: Quasi-linear elliptic equations with superlinear convection (2024). https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.15194
- Stampacchia, G.: Le problème de dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus. Annales de l'Institut Fourier **15**(1), 189–257 (1965) https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.204
- Talenti, G.: Best constant in sobolev inequality. Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata 110(1), 353–372 (1976) https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02418013